UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,963	09/20/2001	Hiroshi Sumiyama	018775-842	1910
7590 11/26/2008 Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
			HANG, VU B	
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/955,963	SUMIYAMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vu B. Hang	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 C</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 10 ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under £	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2001 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	-
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/2001.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 09/955,963 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

 This office action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination filed on 10/30/2008.

- The amendment received on 10/07/2008 have been entered and made of record.
- Claims 1-26 are pending in the application.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/07/2008 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/07/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the cited prior art, Ainai (US Patent 5,663,800) and Nishiyama et al. (US Patent 6,067,168), does not disclose or suggest a memory recall key that can be used to directly recall data from one device to another. For support, the applicant further argues that Nishiyama does not disclose or suggest that the user can control the issuance of a return request. The examiner disagrees for the following reasons.
- 3. Nishiyama discloses a memory mode transmission key on an image processing device for sending a document data to another device after the documents is stored in memory (see Fig.5 (20) and Col.10, Line 5-9). The examiner interprets this memory mode transmission key as a "memory recall" key for performing re-execution of an output instruction. The output instruction

Application/Control Number: 09/955,963 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

would be to retransferring the processed image data back to the sender device. Nishiyama also teaches that the processed image data is returned to the sender device (copier 91) upon receiving a "return request" signal by the machine performing the image processing (see Fig.1 (S54), Fig.15 (S14,S15,S16,S10) and Co1.17, Line 42-48); and that a user can input control data through a user interface from the sender device (copier 91) to send image processing and control information to specific devices (copier 92 and copier 93) that are communication with the requesting machine (see Fig. 13b, Fig.13c and Co 1.16, Line 4- 18). This shows that the input keys from the user interface of a device can generate a return request signal for returning the processed image data to the sender machine. Therefore in the examiner's opinion, Nishiyama teaches a memory recall key that can be used to directly recall data from one device to another.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ainai (US Patent 5,663,800) in view of Nishiyama et al. (US Patent 6,067,168).
- 6. Regarding **Claims 1 and 17**, Anai discloses an image forming apparatus (see Fig.3 and Col.2, Line 17-22), comprising: an input device for receiving image data as an input (see Fig.3 (1) and Col.6, Line 59-66); transfer means for transferring the image data received by the input device to an image memory of a memory incorporating apparatus connected to the input device via the network (see Fig.3 (1,6,7) and Col.3, Line 45-50); and a printing device for forming an

Art Unit: 2625

image with use of the image data received by the reception means (see Fig.3 (3)). Ainai fails to disclose a memory recall key which generates a memory recall signal after a transfer of the image data, for re-execution of an output instruction; and a reception means for receiving the image data stored in the image memory in accordance with the signal.

Page 4

- 7. Nishiyama, however, discloses a memory mode transmission key on an image processing device for sending a document data to another device after the documents is stored in memory (see Fig.5 (20) and Col.10, Line 5-9); and a reception means for receiving the image data stored in the image memory in accordance with a signal (see Fig. 6 Fig.9 and Col. 10, Line 21-58). Nishiyama also teaches that the processed image data is returned to the sender device (copier 91) upon receiving a "return request" signal by the machine performing the image processing (see Fig.1 (S54), Fig.15 (S14,S15,S16,S10) and Col.17, Line 42-48); and that a user can input control data through a user interface from the sender device (copier 91) to send image processing and control information to specific devices (copier 92 and copier 93) that are communication with the requesting machine (see Fig. 13b, Fig.13c and Co 1.16, Line 4- 18).
- 8. Ainai and Nishiyama are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image processing systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include to the apparatus a memory recall key which generates a memory recall signal after a transfer of the image data, for re-execution of an output instruction; and a reception means for receiving the image data stored in the image memory in accordance with the signal. The motivation would be to include a user interface for sending and distributing print commands from a requesting device to other image-forming devices in communication with the requesting device, and receiving back the processed image data upon request. The user interface

Art Unit: 2625

would enable an operator from the requesting device to send image data to a more capable device to perform specific image processing on the image data, and receiving back the processed image data upon request.

Regarding **Claims 2 and 10**, Ainai further discloses a retrieval means for retrieving the image data in the memory of the memory-incorporating apparatus connected to the network (see Fig.3 (6,7), Col.3, Line 18-29 and Col.3, Line 45-50).

Regarding Claims 3 and 11, Ainai discloses the transfer means of Claim 2 but fails to disclose a transfer means for transferring the image data to the image memory of the memory-incorporating apparatus retrieved by the retrieval means. Nishiyama, however, discloses a transfer means for transferring the image data to the image memory of the memory-incorporating apparatus retrieved by the retrieval means (see Col.2, .Line 66 - Col.3, Line 3). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include a means for transferring the image data to the image memory of the memory-incorporating apparatus retrieved by the retrieval means. The motivation would be to provide an image data storage means in which the stored image data can be retrieved for repeat printings. The storage means would benefit printings in which the same image data are repeatedly used.

Regarding Claims 4 and 12, Nishiyama further discloses a warning device for informing a user that the retrieval means cannot identify any memory-incorporating apparatus (see Col. 18, Line 47-49). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include the warning device. The motivation would be to detect the presence of image data to be printed. A user trying to perform a printing operation should be notified when there are no image data present to be printed.

Art Unit: 2625

Page 6

Regarding **Claims 5 and 13**, Ainai further discloses a transfer instructions means for inputting a data transfer instruction in response to operation by a user (see Fig. 1 (1,6) and Col.6, Line 63-66), wherein the retrieval means retrieves the image data in the image memory of the memory-incorporating apparatus when the data transfer instruction is inputted (see Fig. 1 (1,6), Col.3, Line 18-29 and Col.3, Line 45-50).10. Regarding Claims 6 and 14, Nishiyama further discloses the key is displayed on a display device (see Fig.6 - Fig.9 and Col.4, Line 46-48).

Regarding Claims 7 and 15, Nishiyama further discloses a user interface display that identifies the memory-incorporating apparatus (see Fig.8a and Col. 11, Line 7-12) and determining whether the memory-incorporating apparatus is able or unable to store image data request (see Fig.27 (118) and Col.32, Line 47-55). Ainai and Nishiyama, however, fail to disclose "not displaying the key when the retrieval means identifies a no-memory-incorporating apparatus". Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art at the time of the invention to remove a key or menu after the option is no longer available. It would have been obvious to remove the key if the retrieval means identifies a no-memory-incorporating apparatus. The motivation would be to minimize confusions and time wasted for viewing options that are no longer in use.

- 9. Regarding **Claims 8 and 16**, Nishiyama further discloses the key is displayed on the display device during or after image forming operation by the printing device with use of image data inputted by the input device (see Fig. 17 (121a) and Col.4, Line 43-51).
- 10. Regarding **Claim 9**, Ainai discloses an image forming apparatus (see Fig.3 and Col.2, Line 17-22), comprising: a buffer for holding the image data created by the reading device (see Fig.3 (5a-c) and Col.3, Line 23-29); a printing device for forming a copy of the image document

Art Unit: 2625

Page 7

on a sheet of paper based on the image data held in the buffer (see Fig.3 (3)); a transfer means for transferring the image data stored in the buffer to an image memory of a memory-incorporating apparatus connected to a network via the network; and a control means for controlling the printing device which forms an image with use of the image data received by the reception means (see Fig.3 (1,6,7) and Col.3, Line 45-50); and a control means for controlling the printing device, which forms an image with use of the image data received by the reception means (see Fig.3 (1,3,6) and Col.6; Line 59-66). Ainai fails to disclose a reading device; a memory recall key which generates a memory recall signal after a transfer of the image data, for re-execution of an output instruction; and a reception means for receiving the image data stored in the image memory in accordance with the signal.

11. Nishiyama, however, discloses a reading device for creating data by reading an image document (see Fig. 11 (91-93) and Col. 12, Line 56-62), a memory mode transmission key on an image processing device for sending a document data to another device after the documents is stored in memory (see Fig.5 (20) and Col.10, Line 5-9); and a reception means for receiving the image data stored in the image memory in accordance with a signal (see Fig. 6 - Fig.9 and Col. 10, Line 21-58). Nishiyama also teaches that the processed image data is returned to the sender device (copier 91) upon receiving a "return request" signal by the machine performing the image processing (see Fig.1 (S54), Fig.15 (S14,S15,S16,S10) and Col.17, Line 42-48); and that a user can input control data through a user interface from the sender device (copier 91) to send image processing and control information to specific devices (copier 92 and copier 93) that are communication with the requesting machine (see Fig. 13b, Fig.13c and Co 1.16, Line 4- 18).

Art Unit: 2625

12. Ainai and Nishiyama are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image processing systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include to the apparatus a memory recall key which generates a memory recall signal after a transfer of the image data, for re-execution of an output instruction; and a reception means for receiving the image data stored in the image memory in accordance with the signal. The motivation would be to include a user interface for sending and distributing print commands from a requesting device to other image-forming devices in communication with the requesting device, and receiving back the processed image data upon request. The user interface would enable an operator from the requesting device to send image data to a more capable device to perform specific image processing on the image data, and receiving back the processed image data upon request. It is further obvious to include to the apparatus a reading device for creating the image data. The motivation would be to scan or read in image data to perform specific image processing on. The scanned or read image data would be input data for the apparatus to perform specific image processing on.

Page 8

- 13. Regarding **Claim 18**, the rational provided for the rejection of Claim 9 is incorporated herein.
- 14. Regarding **Claim 19**, the rational provided for the rejections of Claim 1 is incorporated herein.
- 15. Regarding **Claim 20**, the rational provided for the rejection of Claim 9 is incorporated herein.
- 16. Regarding **Claims 21**, Ainai further discloses wherein the image forming apparatus does not have an image memory (see Fig.3 (1) and Col.2, Line 17-22).

Application/Control Number: 09/955,963 Page 9

Art Unit: 2625

17. Regarding Claim 22-26, the rational provided for the rejection of Claim 9 is incorporated

herein.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vu B. Hang whose telephone number is (571)272-0582. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am - 6:00pm.

19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vu B. Hang/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Page 10

Art Unit: 2625